# Certification of Reasonableness of Plan Services

for



For the period ended December 31, 2013



www.dalbar.com



November 7, 2014

To: Sarah Managan.

Vice i resident, i ii a compilarioe

0-105 Coodwood Bivd.

We have evaluated the services provided to H&J Capital, L.L.C. 401(k) Plan to determine if they meet the requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ["ERISA"] Section 408(b)(2) which requires that they be "necessary for the establishment or operation of the plan and no more than reasonable compensation is paid therefor".

Based on evaluations concluded on November 7, 2014, it is our opinion that, services provided <u>do</u> meet the three standards of being 1) necessary 2) reasonable and 3) that compensation paid for these services is reasonable.

Detailed findings from our evaluations are included in the report that accompanies this letter. You should review these findings and if you concur with them make a note of that fact in the Acknowledgement below, describe any action you take and retain this report containing your acknowledgement in your permanent records. Please contact DALBAR if you disagree with the findings in this report.

Our opinion regarding the plan and its services is based on information provided by H&J Capital, L.L.C. 401(k) Plan and confirmed through public sources where feasible. Any material change or discrepancy in this information could change our opinion.

Very truly yours,

DALBAR, Inc.

#### **Acknowledgement**

I/We have reviewed the evaluations contained in this report and concur with its findings.

I/We have taken the following actions in response to these findings:

| See further information in attachr | ments |  |
|------------------------------------|-------|--|
|                                    |       |  |
|                                    |       |  |
| Signature                          | Date  |  |
| oignature                          | Built |  |
| Signature                          | Date  |  |



#### Introduction

This report demonstrates compliance with these regulations and presents the results of DALBAR's Fee Disclosure Evaluation & Recommendation ("Evaluation") process which is based on information provided by the responsible fiduciary as defined in ERISA ("Plan Sponsor"). The intent of the report is to document performance of duties required by ERISA 408(b)(2) fee disclosure regulations and make recommendations when appropriate.

Please see the "Computations and Assumptions Guide" for explanations of information reported here.

#### **Background**

Federal government regulations now require Plan Sponsors to actively monitor and evaluate the reasonableness of their employees' retirement plans based on specific guidelines. Failure to comply subjects Plan Sponsors to severe fines, taxes and penalties.

### Methodology

Information used in this analysis was obtained from H&J Capital, L.L.C. 401(k) Plan.

The determination of reasonableness is performed by the calculation of the "Target Price" for the plan that incorporates a number of quantitative and qualitative variables (X-Factors). The calculation uses a statistical fee benchmark as its starting point and applies various X-Factor weightings based on the facts and circumstances applicable to this specific plan. There are more than fifty X-Factors that are grouped into three categories:

#### Success in Achieving Retirement Goals

These X-Factors lead to a secure retirement of employees and include the time until retirement, level of plan contributions, participation rates, investment quality and asset allocations. They influence the replacement income percentage at retirement.

#### Meeting Plan Sponsor & Participant Needs/Preferences

Plan sponsors are not required to offer a retirement plan and neither are employees required to use one, if it is offered. The voluntary nature of the plan makes it necessary to be responsive to the needs and preferences of both plan sponsors and employees. This category of X-Factors consists of those that encourage plan sponsors to offer and support the retirement plan and those that encourage use by employees.

#### Reducing Exposure to Risks and Liabilities

These X-Factors protect plan sponsors from taking actions or failing to act in ways that cause a fiduciary breach or non-compliance.

## **Assumptions**

The following assumptions were used in calculating the target price for this plan:

| Retirement Related         |     | Annual Investment Returns |    |
|----------------------------|-----|---------------------------|----|
| Annual Income Inflation    | 3%  | Equities                  | 7% |
| % of Retirement \$ in Plan | 75% | Fixed Income              | 4% |
| Expected Retirement Age    | 65  | Stable Value              | 3% |
| Retirement Withdrawal Rate | 5%  | Cash Equiv.               | 2% |
|                            |     | Blended Investments       | 5% |



# **Plan Summary**

Name and contact information for Plan Sponsor:

| <u> </u> |     |         | _        | 100000 |
|----------|-----|---------|----------|--------|
| 0.405.0  | S I | 1.51    | <u> </u> | 2      |
| Datas    | D   | 1 4 700 | 200      |        |

Name of plan:

Type of plan: 401(k)

Total number of participants in plan including beneficiaries: 167

Estimated or actual value assets in plan: \$5,455,764

Estimated average participant age: 40
Estimated average deferral rate: 4.30%

Average annual income of participants: \$55,000

9

Number of non-participating eligible employees:

Service Providers:

Record keeper - Fidelity

Administration - Fidelity

Investment Platform - Fidelity

Trustee - Fidelity

Custodian - Fidelity

Participant Services - SEIA

Ctatistical banchmark ward

Investment Advice - SEIA

Investment Management - Various Mutual Funds and ETFs

£45 000

## **Computation of Target Price**

| 8 | Statistical benchmark used              | \$45,000 |  |
|---|---|----------|--|
| , | Summary of X-Factors:                   |          |  |
|   | Success Factors:<br>Needs & Preferences | + 7%     |  |
|   | Relationship Factors                    | +18%     |  |
|   | Service quality                         | + 6%     |  |
|   | Scope of services                       | - 0%     |  |
| 2 | Risk Factors                            | + 8%     |  |

Target price for this plan \$62,100



# **Findings Summary**

The following are the principal findings from the Evaluation. Further details are presented in the Recommendations, Plan Improvements and Test Results sections that follow.

## **Condition of the Plan**

The level of participation and contribution, plan costs, diversity of investments, plan features and compliance with regulations indicate that the plan condition is:

✓ Excellent

# **Fee Disclosure Compliance**

Compliance with regulations to obtain fee disclosures from all covered service providers is:

√ Good

## **Other Observations**

In conducting the Evaluation, the following observations were made:

✓ Deferral rates are below the industry average.



# Recommendations

The Evaluation has identified the need to take the following actions:

✓ None

# **Plan Improvements**

Adopting or eliminating the features indicated below should be considered in order to improve the effectiveness of the plan:

| Plan Feature                                   | Currently In Plan | Consider the Action | For this Reason |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Automatic Enrollment                           | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Automatic Escalation of Contributions          | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Electronic Document Delivery                   | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Safe Harbor (eliminates ADP & ACP testing)     | No                | No Action           |                 |
| Loans  | No                | No Action           |                 |
| Hardship Withdrawals                           | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Self-Directed Brokerage                        | No                | No Action           |                 |
| Roth (taxable contributions)                   | No                | No Action           |                 |
| Participant Advice by Computer Model           | No                | No Action           |                 |
| Face to Face Participant Advice                | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Catch-up Contributions                         | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| In-service Distributions                       | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Pre-retirement Counseling                      | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Matching Contributions                         | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Enrollment/Education Meetings                  | Yes               | No Action           |                 |
| Automatic Rollovers (for terminated employees) | Yes               | No Action           |                 |



## **Test Results**

Tests are conducted in five broad categories. These categories represent the information necessary to determine if service arrangements are reasonable.

**Note:** The numbers in parentheses (6.1) following the title of each category identifies the section of the Computations and Assumptions Guide where method of determination is described.

The basis for establishing each of these categories are:

#### ✓ Completeness, Accuracy and Usability (6.1.0)

Completeness -408(b)(2)(c)(1)(i) prohibits use of Covered Service Providers unless proper disclosures are made.

Accuracy -408(b)(2)(c)(vii) requires that errors or omissions are corrected within 30 days.

Usability -404 and 408(b)(2) require a Plan Sponsor to decide whether it has enough information to determine whether the cost of services paid for by the plan is reasonable.

#### ✓ Plan's Success (6.2.0)

A plan that cannot be reasonably expected to meet the overarching goal of employee retirement security is by definition unreasonable.

## √ Necessity of Plan Services (6.3.0)

408(b)(2) requires that services used by a plan are necessary.

#### ✓ Comparability of Costs (6.4.0)

408(b)(2) requires that no more than reasonable compensation is paid for services.

#### ✓ Judgment of Reasonableness (6.5.0)

The ability to exercise this judgment is the stated goal of the regulation.



# **Completeness, Accuracy and Usability**

| Service Provider | Disclosure<br>Received | Required<br>Information | Consistency | Information<br>Accessibility |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| Fidelity         | Yes                    | Excellent               | Excellent   | Excellent                    |
| SEIA             | Yes                    | Fair                    | Fair        | Fair                         |

# Plan's Success

| Plan Success Factor  | This Plan | Normal Level |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Participation Rate   | 94%       | 87%          |
| Deferral Rate        | 4.30%     | 6.80%        |
| Replacement Income % | 28%       | 22%          |

# **Necessity of Plan Services**

| Service               | Service Provider              | Quality   | Fiduciary | Necessary | Basis for<br>Necessity* |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Recordkeeping         | Fidelity                      | Excellent | No        | Yes       | A, B, C                 |
| Administration        | Fidelity                      | Excellent | No        | Yes       | A, B, C, D              |
| Investment Platform   | Fidelity                      | Good      | Yes       | Yes       | В                       |
| Trustee               | Fidelity                      | Excellent | No        | Yes       | A, D                    |
| Custodian             | Fidelity                      | Excellent | No        | Yes       | A, D                    |
| Participant Services  | SEIA                          | Excellent | Yes       | Yes       | B, C                    |
| Investment Advice     | SEIA                          | Excellent | Yes       | Yes       | B, C                    |
| Investment Management | Various Mutual Funds and ETFs | Good      | No        | Yes       | A, B, D                 |

**B** -Produce Successful Results for Participants

<sup>\*</sup> A -Establish or Operate the Plan C -Respond to Participants' Demand

**D** -Comply with Regulations



# **Comparability of Costs**

| Service Provider     | Current Estimate | Target Price | % Difference |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| ✓ Fidelity<br>✓ SEIA | \$46,374         | \$62,100     | -25.3%       |

# **Judgment of Reasonableness**

| Consideration                     | Finding   | Conclusion             |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Potential Conflicts of Interest   | None identified.                                      | Satisfactory           |
| Resolution Method                 | N/A   | N/A                    |
| Completeness, Accuracy, Usability | All 408(b)(2) disclosures received                    | Satisfactory           |
| Plan Success                      | The deferral rate is below normal level.              | Attention is suggested |
| Necessity                         | All services are necessary.                           | Satisfactory           |
| Comparability                     | The plan's expenses are 25.3% below the Target Price. | Satisfactory           |

**D**ALBAR

Federal Reserve Plaza 600 Atlantic Ave, FL 30 Boston, MA 02210 617-723-64000 www.dalbar.com